

From: [Bill Janes](#)
To: [Jason Sheppard](#); [Ab Singleton](#)
Subject: Re: Dunphy Article
Date: Saturday, September 17, 2016 12:09:07 PM

Jason

Thanks we will deal with it.

WJ

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Bell network.

From: Jason Sheppard
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 11:35 AM
To: Ab Singleton; Bill Janes
Subject: Fw: Dunphy Article

Good morning Chief and Deputy,
Please see below from Joe Smyth.

How do we deal with this? I don't believe it is Joe Gullage's or my place to contact the media or be critical of Justice Riche since none of us have even seen the report. We don't know what comments are accurate or not. This appears to be the responsibility of the RCMP or DOJPS.

I am sure that Joe Smyth will find many issues with future comments but if the comments are inaccurate then I can understand his frustrations. If this is not addressed then Joe Smyth may start publicly correcting statements and this could descend into nasty dialogue in the media.

If they would release the report then it will provide an accurate depiction of the incident and the investigation. I can't see how it would impact a future inquiry since whatever is done is done.

Any thoughts on responding to Joe Smyth?

Jason

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Bell network.

From: Joey Smyth <[joey.e.smyth@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:joey.e.smyth@[REDACTED])>
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 10:04 AM
To: Bill Janes; Jason Sheppard; Joe Gullage; Mike Summers; John Drover
Subject: Dunphy Article

Good morning, I would like to bring to your attention a telegram article published today that I find troubling.

Judge Riche has spoke openly to journalist James McLeod - which in itself I would have been pleased with - however, Riche has made statements that are factually incorrect. Furthermore, I think his partial release of information is completely irresponsible given his position and what he is privy to.

I believe Riche should formally correct the in accurate information:

"Riche said that when Smyth arrived at Dunphy's house, there was nobody home, so he waited outside in the car until Dunphy came home."

This is not correct and paints a prejudicial picture that I was waiting like predator. The truth is that I was a Dunphy's brothers house when he returned and decided to visit when I saw his vehicle had returned. Riche would be privy to this information.

From a more general perspective, Riche attacks the credibility of the entire process by stating that ASIRT is a police entity and that his report is the only "non-police" perspective:

"You've got a police person who did the shooting. You had a police body — the RCMP — reviewing it, and then you had it sent to another police body to look at it again. All of these people are police," he said.

I believe these statements are prejudicial from the very person I would have counted on to be unbiased and impartial.

I am requesting that the RNC requests Riche's report now be released on it entirety and he correct the inaccurate information he has presented.

I am requesting the RNCA issue a formal media release asking for the same. I believe Riche has marred my personal integrity, the RNC's integrity, and the integrity of the very investigation he was tasked to oversee and report on.

I have brought this to the attention of Sgt Kent Osmond as well.

Thank you
Joey

Full article:

<http://m.thetelegram.com/News/Local/2016-09-17/article-4643035/Judge-talks-about-Dunphy-report/1>

Sent from my iPhone